Montgomery Blair Sibley

Write-In Candidate for President of the United States

PRESS RELEASE #3

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

March 28, 2012

For More Information Contact:

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY through www.MontgomeryBlairSibley.com

POTUS WRITE-IN CANDIDATE SIBLEY FORCES SUPREME COURT TO RULE ON OBAMA'S ELIGIBILITY TO BE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington D.C.- POTUS Write-In Candidate Montgomery Blair Sibley today filed his Petition for Certiorari with the United States Supreme Court. That Petition presents the Supreme Court with record evidence that: (i) Barack Hussein Obama, II, is not a "natural born Citizen" as required by Article II, §1 of the Constitution and thus is ineligible to be President and (ii) that the "Certificates of Live Birth" released by Mr. Obama are in fact forgeries. Additionally, Sibley is filing a Motion to Expedite Consideration of Petition for a Writ of Certiorari. By these documents, Sibley is asking the U.S. Supreme Court to expedite the resolution of his Quo Warranto lawsuit pending in U.S. District Court before the Honorable John D. Bates. Significantly, though eighty-five (85) days have passed since Sibley's filing of the lawsuit on January 3, 2012, Judge Bates has refused to rule upon any of the pending matters in that suit.

Sibley's filings procedurally forces the Supreme Court to declare whether they will take up the question of Obama's eligibility to be President or allow the question to be relegated to a judicial process that would not reach the Supreme Court until well <u>after</u> the November 6, 2012, election, let alone before the September 3, 2012, Democratic Convention.

Sibley stated: "Obama's eligibility to be President is now in the hands of the Supreme Court. I have properly invoked the federal statute which authorizes challenges to the eligibility of federal office holders and procedurally have now moved that question from the District Court through the Circuit Court of Appeals and now, with these filings, to the Supreme Court. If they deny my Petition to expedite resolution of the significant and fairly-posed question of Obama's eligibility, it is a clear statement that they will refuse to allow that matter to be properly and promptly adjudicated. In that case, I fear "We the People" will have lost "the right, possessed by every citizen, to require that the Government be administered according to law." For if the Supreme Court will not take up the issue of whether the Government is being administered according to the rule of law, then the government is clearly being administered instead by the rule of whim and caprice."

Sibley concluded: "Thus I call upon the Supreme Court to forthwith resolve this heretofore unresolved question: Is Barack Hussein Obama, II, eligible to be President of the United States?"

- E n d -

Fairchild v. Hughes, 258 U.S. 126, 130 (1922)