

Montgomery Blair Sibley

Write-In Candidate for President of the United States

PRESS RELEASE #11

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

February 6, 2013

For More Information Contact:

MONTGOMERY BLAIR SIBLEY through
www.MontgomeryBlairSibley.com

SIBLEY INJECTS STUXNET-LIKE MOTION CHALLENGING OBAMA'S ELIGIBILITY INTO FEDERAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE NETWORK

Washington D.C. – A new front on the legal battle to establish that Barack Hussein Obama, II, is ineligible to be President was opened today by Montgomery Blair Sibley.

To date, no federal court has taken up the merits of Obama's eligibility relying instead on the dubious legal doctrine of “standing” to dismiss every lawsuit. Accordingly, Sibley has released his Stuxnet-like [Motion to Dismiss Indictment](#) into the federal prison system. The Motion makes the simple argument that: (i) no federal law is valid under Article I, § 7, cl. 2, of the Constitution unless it is presented to the President, (ii) Obama is not a legitimate President and thus (iii) his signing of the [Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009](#) (“FERA”) is void. Accordingly, every person charge and/or convicted under FERA is entitled to be released.

The Sixth Amendment to the Constitution guarantees: “in all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall . . . have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor.” Thus the Motion demands federal subpoenas for Obama’s: (i) certificates of live birth, (ii) college applications from Occidental College, Columbia University and Harvard Law School, (iii) U.S. Passport application, (iv) Social Security application and (v) Selective Service registration information – documents Obama has refused to reveal despite repeated requests.

Sibley has sent the Motion to prisoners convicted under FERA and their defense attorneys and expects his Motion to be filed in dozens of FERA cases by them in the near future. Sibley said: “Like the Stuxnet computer virus, my Motion will circulate among the prison and population and criminal defense bar both of which are very adept at bringing legal pleadings to Court. Once the Motion is properly presented, the Courts will be forced to deal with the merits of Obama’s legitimacy. The doctrine of ‘standing’ will no longer be a barrier to adjudication. My Motion forces the Courts to make the choice of issuing the requested subpoenas – thereby finally settling the issue of Obama’s eligibility to be President – or affirming that the Sixth Amendment has been repealed by Judicial fiat because it threatens the *status quo*. Either way, we will know where we stand as a People and whether it is time to convene a Constitutional Convention to re-assert our fundamental rights in a federal judicial system which has evolved to ignore those sacred rights when they challenge the ruling class.”

- E n d -